Salivary Nitric Oxide and Alpha-Amylase as Indexes of Training Intensity and Load

Abstract

This study examined the variation in salivary nitric oxide (NO), alpha-amylase (sAA) and serum markers of muscle injury during 21 weeks of training in elite swimmers. Samples of saliva and blood were collected once a month during 5 months from 11 male professional athletes during their regular training season. The variation in each marker throughout the 21 weeks was compared with the dynamics of training volume, intensity and load. Unstimulated whole saliva was assessed for NO and sAA whereas venous blood was assessed for lactate dehydrogenase, creatine kinase, and γ-glutamyltransferase. Nitric oxide and sAA showed a proportional response to the intensity of training. However, whereas the concentration of NO increased across the 21 weeks, the activity of sAA decreased. Similar variations in the concentration of NO and the markers of muscle injury were also observed. The higher concentration of NO might be attributed to changes in haemodynamics and muscle regenerative processes. On the other hand, autonomic regulation towards parasympathetic predominance might have been responsible for the decrease in sAA activity. These findings provide appealing evidence for the utilization of salivary constituents in sports medicine to monitor training programmes.

Introduction

Sports training is a methodological process that involves systematic oscillations in the volume and intensity of exercise [31]. Periodization allows athletes to reach peaks of performance at predictable times whilst providing a model for controlling recovery and adaptation. Traditionally, monitoring the physiological response to training has been performed using, amongst others, serum markers of muscular damage and variations in hormonal and immune status [16]. The serum levels of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and creatine kinase (CK) are widely used in sports medicine to monitor the response and adaptation of skeletal muscle to training [5]. The serum levels of both enzymes generally increase hours after strenuous exercise and return to baseline levels within days of recovery [5]. The concentration of catecholamines is also used as an index of autonomic activity [33]. Overall, during periods of intense training, lower concentrations of catecholamines have been observed in healthy subjects [34]. Although these parameters provide a fairly accurate appraisal of the adaptive response to exercise, the collection of blood specimens is invasive and requires trained personnel. Saliva offers clear advantages over blood in sports research because it is readily available, non-invasive and can be easily collected, handled and stored. Saliva is produced from 3 major pair of glands and numerous other minor glands spread over the oral mucosa. Most of the components of saliva such as water, electrolytes and proteins, are secreted from the salivary glands under autonomic control. In general, sympathetic nerves are responsible for the secretions of proteins whereas parasympathetic stimulation results predominantly in the secretion of water and electrolytes [26]. Alpha-amylase (sAA) is one of the most abundant proteins in saliva and possesses digestive and anti-microbial properties [29]. Because its release into saliva is predominantly regulated by sympathetic control, the response of sAA to exercise has been used as a marker of nervous activity and has been successfully applied to predict exercise intensity in well-trained subjects [3]. Nitric oxide (NO), on the other hand, is synthesized from the amino acid L-arginine by NO syn-
thase (NOS) and is of major importance in the regulation of coronary and systemic tone [18]. Regular exercise is associated with an enhanced vasodilator capacity and anti-atherogenic effects, which is in part attributed to the up-regulation of NO synthesis [21]. Previous research also has demonstrated that higher levels of NO in plasma during exercise predict exercise capacity in trained subjects [28]. Yet, no study to date has investigated the feasibility of salivary NO to monitor training programmes. Consequently, although a significant body of research supports the notion that saliva offers an interesting possibility to assess the adaptive response to exercise, missing are studies that enable us to determine the variation of salivary components, mainly NO and sAA, in response to long-term training. This is particularly important in sports medicine since monitoring for abnormal profiles of markers of training status is usually performed in the basal state and not only moments before or after training sessions. Thus, we investigated the variation in salivary NO and sAA during 21 weeks of training in elite swimmers. We compared their variation with the oscillation of training volume, intensity and load. To gain a better understanding of the impact of training, we also included analysis of heart rate (HR) and the serum markers of muscle damage LDH, CK and γ-glutamyltransferase (γ-GT). Finally, since psychological stimuli might influence the secretion of salivary proteins, mood states across the training season were registered using the Profile of Mood States (POMS) questionnaire. We hypothesized that the variation in sAA and NO would be proportional to the oscillation of the training volume, intensity and load.

Methods

Participants
The participants were 11 male swimmers (aged 21.5±2.16 years; BMI: 22.7±2.5 VO₂ max: 52.7±3.2 ml/kg.min; competition experience: 8.7±2.8 years) recruited from a professional swimming team before the beginning of a 21-week training season. None of the participants smoked, had significant medical or oral health history or were taking regular or incidental medication during the study. One week before the beginning of the training season, the participants gave their written informed consent. The experimental protocol was in compliance with the ethical standards in sports and exercise science research [13] and was approved by the Institutional Review Board.

Training
The participants completed 9 training sessions per week that included predominantly swimming. In the swimming sessions, the average distance performed was 9.04 km. Overall, active recovery was given on Thursdays and complete rest on Sundays. The volume, intensity and load in the swimming sessions throughout the 21 weeks of training is shown in Fig. 1a, b. Training intensity throughout this study was established by means of blood lactate measures, with 100% intensity corresponding to a swimming velocity at the anaerobic threshold for each individual.

Fig. 1 Variation in training and salivary markers during the 21-week season. Figure a shows the dynamics of volume and intensity of training. Figure b represents training load (expressed as a function of volume × intensity). Figures c and d show the variation in flow rate and sAA and NO secretion rate, respectively. * Significantly different from training week 1 at p<0.05 ● Significantly different from training week 6 at p<0.05.
Physiology & Biochemistry

Design
Every 4 weeks during 5 months the participants attended the laboratory for the collection of saliva and blood. The participants refrained from intense exercise 72 h prior to the first collection of samples. However, the participants attended the laboratory during the subsequent 4 visits with no additional rest between training sessions. Resting HR was monitored employing a wireless signal transmission device (Polar RS300X, Polar Electro) and was recorded as the average of 2 min before the collection of samples.

Collection of samples
Whole saliva was collected with no exogenous stimulation. The saliva was allowed to pool in the mouth and then drooled into pre-weighted collection vials after 2 min. The participants were asked to refrain from drinking, eating or tooth brushing during the hour prior to the collection of the samples. Immediately after collecting saliva, blood from the antecubital vein (±10 mL) was withdrawn into EDTA-coated tubes. All collection procedures took place at 08:00 h and were performed with the participants under fasting conditions. The participants had their blood routinely sampled for markers of muscle injury prior to this study and none of them reported the procedure as stressful. The analysis of the blood samples was performed immediately after the collection. After the collection of saliva, the samples were stored frozen at −20°C until analysis.

Serum markers
The blood samples were centrifuged at 4°C for 5 min at 5,000 rpm. The analysis of LDH, CK, and γ-GT was performed using the automatic chemistry analyzer Architect C-8000 (Abbott) using commercially available kits from the manufacturer and according to their protocol. The intra-assay coefficients of variation were below 10%.

Determination of sAA activity
On the day of the analysis, the samples of saliva were thawed and centrifuged at 3000rpm for 15 min to remove mucins. For sAA analysis, 10μL of saliva were diluted (1:200) in MES buffer (MES 50 mM, NaCl 300 mM, CaCl₂ 5 mM, KSCN 140 mM, pH 6.3) followed by the addition of 300 μL of pre-heated (37°C) substrate solution (2-chloro-p-nitrophenol, linked to maltotriose). The optical density was read at 405nm at 1-min intervals during 3 min at 37°C using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Menlo Park, CA). The enzyme activity was determined using the formula: [Absorbance difference per minute × total assay volume (308 μL) × dilution factor (200)]/[millimolar absorptivity of 2-chloro-p-nitrophenol (12.9) × sample volume (0.008 mL) × light path (0.97)] [12]. The enzyme activity (U/mL) was then multiplied by flow rate (mL/min) to estimate the sAA secretion rate (U/min).

Determination of the concentration of NO
Nitric oxide was determined as nitrite formed using the Griess reaction. This assay is one of the most widely used techniques for the spectrophotometric measurement of nitrite in biological fluids [32]. 50μL of saliva were incubated with 50μL of Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide in 2.5% H₃PO₄ and 0.1% N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride) at room temperature for 10 min. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader. The content of nitrite was calculated based on a standard curve constructed with NaNO₂ at the concentrations of 400, 200, 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25 and 3.12μM. The intra-assay coefficient of variation for duplicate samples was 3.8%.

Psychometric instruments
The participants completed the POMS immediately before saliva collection. The participants were asked to state how they felt at the moment. The POMS is a 65-item questionnaire measuring tension, depression, anger, confusion, vigour and fatigue on a 5-point Likert scale. The internal consistency for the POMS (Cronbach’s alpha coefficient) was reported at 0.96 [7].

Statistical analysis
The data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test prior to the analyses. No transformations were necessary for any of the variables. All of the variables were compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey test for multiple comparisons. The relationships between the salivary markers and training outcomes were analysed using a 2-tailed Pearson correlation coefficient. The percentage of changes in salivary and serum markers was calculated by using the formula: percentage difference = 100*[(value – baseline)/baseline]. For all of the analyses, the significance level was p < 0.05. The results shown are means (SD).

Results

Exercise-induced muscle damage
Table 1 shows the mean values of HR and serum markers throughout the training season. The resting HR decreased in

### Table 1 Variation in heart rate and serum markers of muscle injury during the 21-week training season. The results are means (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Training Weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>66.03 (2.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH (U/L)</td>
<td>175.00 (7.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK (U/L)</td>
<td>161.10 (25.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-GT (U/L)</td>
<td>17.30 (3.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from training week 1 at <0.05
*Significantly different from training week 6 at <0.05
*Significantly different from training week 11 at <0.05
% Percentage of change
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The response of NO and sAA to long-term training

Fig. 1c, d show the variation in salivary flow rate, sAA and NO in response to training. No significant changes in flow rate were observed \((F(4,29)=2.25, p=0.086)\). However, sAA and NO showed a differential and opposite reactivity to activity. Whereas the concentration of NO increased \((F(4,22)=5.05, p=0.0048)\), there was a decline in sAA \((F(4,26)=5.82, p=0.0017)\) across the training season. The mean increase in NO was 239.9% (week 6), 181.5% (week 11), 189.3% (week 16), and 270.8% (week 21). On the other hand, the activity of sAA decreased by 30.9% (week 6), 181.5% (week 11), 189.3% (week 16), and 270.8% (week 21) in response to training. Post hoc power calculations indicated that the statistical model used to relate training parameters with sAA activity and NO had a power of 40% and 48%, respectively.

Correlation between salivary markers and training load

Strong correlations between sAA and NO with the intensity of training were observed. Whereas sAA correlated negatively with the intensity \((r(11)=-0.78, p<0.05)\), NO correlated positively \((r(11)=0.92, p=0.025)\). Similar results were observed when sAA and NO were compared against the training load. A strong negative correlation was observed for sAA \((r(11)=-0.65, p<0.05)\) and a strong positive correlation for NO \((r(11)=0.69, p<0.05)\). No significant correlations between the salivary markers and the volume of training were observed.

Mood disturbance

The mood was not affected by training \((F(4,35)=1.45, p=0.238)\). However, we observed higher scores of fatigue during the weeks with the highest training intensity (weeks 6, 16 and 21) (Table 2).

Discussion

The primary findings of this study are that both sAA and NO showed a proportional response to the oscillation of training intensity and load. In line with our expectations, independent of salivary flow, sAA decreased whereas NO increased across the training season. Salivary NO also behaved similarly to the serum measures of LDH and CK. These findings provide preliminary evidence to the potential feasibility of sAA and NO as markers of training intensity and load.

The rationale behind the use of sAA as a surrogate marker of sympathetic activity comes from evidence showing a similar response to exercise than blood noradrenaline [6]. Given the strong correlation between a catecholamine threshold with the dynamics of blood lactate during exercise, sAA also has been successfully applied to determine the anaerobic threshold in well-trained subjects [3]. Since the early study of Chatterton and colleagues [6], it has been demonstrated that in response to single bouts of exercise, the activity of sAA increases and remains elevated for up to 2 h thereafter [1, 19]. A fact ascribed to changes in sympathetic activity.

No other study to our knowledge has previously assessed the response of sAA to long-term training in humans. However, the autonomic response after prolonged exercise is well documented. In essence, prolonged training has the ability to decrease sympathetic drive and increase parasympathetic activity with a concomitant reduced concentration of plasma catecholamines. This leads to a reduced peripheral resistance, decreased resting blood pressure and is also partially responsible for a lower resting HR [24]. Therefore, a decrease in sAA in response to long-term training could be expected, as it was observed in our study. Salivary glands such as the palate and the sublingual gland receive mainly parasympathetic stimulation and also secrete sAA [4]. Therefore, sAA should not be considered as an exclusive read-out of sympathetic activity. In fact, interpretation of data from traditional markers of sympathetic arousal such as HR also warrants some caution [2]. Although we are not able to distinguish any additive effects between the 2 branches of the ANS, it is well established that the rate of protein secretion into the saliva by sympathetic stimuli is superimposed upon parasympathetic stimulation when the glands are simultaneously innervated [31]. Psychological adverse stimuli have been known to alter the levels of sAA [23]. Since no variation in mood was reported across the training season, the variation in the sAA response to training appeared to be more strongly influenced by physiological than behavioural factors.

As with sAA, no other study appears to have investigated the salivary NO response to long-term training, so we are not able to compare our findings. Only few studies have determined the changes in salivary NO caused by acute exercise and they have yielded equivocal results with most studies [25, 27] but not all [11] reporting an increase in salivary NO after exercise. Interestingly, in our study salivary NO showed a proportional response to the training intensity and load. Nitric oxide is an important cellular messenger involved in the regulation of vascular tone.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POMS Subscale Scores</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tension – anxiety</td>
<td>1.88 (0.78)</td>
<td>2.00 (0.70)</td>
<td>2.44 (0.72)</td>
<td>3.00 (1.00)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depression</td>
<td>1.25 (0.46)</td>
<td>2.25 (0.70)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.70)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.35)</td>
<td>1.62 (0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anger – hostility</td>
<td>0.50 (0.71)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.51)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vigour – activity</td>
<td>12.13 (0.83)</td>
<td>13.88 (1.12)</td>
<td>11.63 (1.88)</td>
<td>10.38 (1.30)</td>
<td>10.63 (1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fatigue</td>
<td>1.37 (0.74)</td>
<td>5.87 (0.99)</td>
<td>2.75 (0.70)</td>
<td>3.87 (1.45)</td>
<td>6.87 (1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confusion – bewilderment</td>
<td>1.75 (0.70)</td>
<td>1.62 (0.91)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.46)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.74)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total mood disturbance</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.90)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.74)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.71)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from training week 1 at p<0.05

**Significantly different from training week 6 at p<0.05

*Significantly different from training week 11 at p<0.05
amongst several other physiological functions. After acute and chronic exercise, there is an up-regulation of NOS and plasma nitrite levels. This has been attributed to shear stress caused by changes in haemodynamics and regeneration processes related to muscle injury [14, 21]. In this respect, it is worth mentioning that we noted a very similar pattern in the levels of CK, LDH and salivary NO as well as a strong correlation between NO and LDH \( r(11) = 0.71, p < 0.05 \).

Nitric oxide also has important roles as a signalling molecule in the nervous system. It is thought that NO regulates sympathovagal modulation by reducing the pre-synaptic release of noradrenaline [30]. Evidence now supports the notion that up-regulation of NO is partially responsible for the reduction of sympathetic tone following training [22]. Due to the short half-life of NO, the quantification of NO metabolites has traditionally been used as indication of NO production. Nitrite is the main product of the oxidation of NO in plasma and previous research has demonstrated that the concentration of nitrite accurately reflects changes in NOS activity [18, 28]. Moreover, the basal levels of plasma nitrite are reduced in subjects with endothelial dysfunction [17] whereas higher levels predict exercise capacity in trained men [28].

Taking the above into consideration, it would be expected to observe a decrease in sAA and a higher concentration of salivary NO in response to long-term training. However, even though salivary parameters are useful tools for understanding physiological processes, the oral cavity is particularly complex and variations in these parameters may not necessarily reflect variations in other systems of the body. Dietary nitrate, for instance, is concentrated in the salivary glands and is converted to nitrite in saliva by oral bacteria [8]. In addition, NO is involved in the regulation of salivary gland functioning [20] and we are not able to estimate the influence, if any, of NO metabolism in the salivary glands on the levels of salivary nitrite.

To control for possible confounding factors, the participants were monitored in the fasted state, the saliva was collected with higher temperatures [15]. However, we did not observe a decrease in sAA and a higher concentration of salivary NO in response to long-term training. However, even though salivary parameters are useful tools for understanding physiological processes, the oral cavity is particularly complex and variations in these parameters may not necessarily reflect variations in other systems of the body. Dietary nitrate, for instance, is concentrated in the salivary glands and is converted to nitrite in saliva by oral bacteria [8]. In addition, NO is involved in the regulation of salivary gland functioning [20] and we are not able to estimate the influence, if any, of NO metabolism in the salivary glands on the levels of salivary nitrite.

To control for possible confounding factors, the participants were monitored in the fasted state, the saliva was collected with no exogenous stimulation and the mood states were registered on each visit to the laboratory. Thus, neither dietary nitrate nor other factors known to stimulate sAA release different from exercise influenced our findings.

Previous research has shown that lower salivary flow rates are observed in healthy subjects due to dehydration during months with higher temperatures [15]. However, we did not find any difference in salivary flow rate and it is unlikely that such variation in sAA and salivary NO occurred as regular circannual rhythms. The serum levels of skeletal muscle enzymes are widely used as markers of muscle functional status. In our study, the dynamics of LDH and CK, but not \( \gamma \)-GT, were distinctly associated with the intensity of training. Although this finding is not particularly novel, the similarity of the variation in LDH and CK with salivary NO is noteworthy. As mentioned above, no statements can be made about their casual relationship at this point. Nevertheless, we believe the potential response of salivary NO to exercised-induced muscle injury is worth pursuing in future research, especially when previous studies have already demonstrated an up-regulation in NO in relation to muscle injury and recovery [9].

Conclusions

Salivary alpha-amylase and NO showed proportional responses to the training intensity and load. Whereas the levels of sAA declined in response to training, salivary NO increased and behaved in a similar fashion to LDH and CK. This may be associated with an enhanced production in NO due to muscle injury and changes in haemodynamics. The oscillation of sAA and NO across the training season is consistent with previously reported variations in the regulation of sympathetic tone and concentrations of plasma nitrite. The changes in sAA, NO and training parameters highlight the use of these salivary constituents to monitor training programmes.
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