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Response of Salivary Markers of Autonomic Activity to Elite Competition

Abstract

We investigated the response of salivary total protein (TP), alpha-amylase (sAA) and chromogranin A (CgA) to sporting competition and their relation with positive and negative affect. 11 professional swimmers were examined during the first day of a national contest and on a recreated event that matched time-of-the-day and day-of-the-week assessments 2 weeks later. Total protein was determined by the Bradford method and sAA and CgA by Western blotting upon awakening, 30 and 60 min post awakening, immediately before warming up for competition and 5, 20 and 60 min after competition. Psychometric instruments included the Positive Affect and Negative Affect Schedule-X. The concentrations of TP, sAA and CgA differed from controls only prior to and 5 min after the event. We observed positive correlations between higher negative affect scores with higher levels of TP, sAA and CgA prior to the event on the competition day. All 3 markers showed a similar reactivity to sporting competition, which may be attributed to the mechanisms responsible for protein secretion into saliva when collection is performed with no exogenous stimulation. TP is an attractive marker in sports psychology since its determination is faster and cheaper than traditional kinetic or immune assays.

Introduction

The stress response is mediated by components in the autonomic nervous system (ANS) and the hypothalamic-pituitary adreno-cortical (HPA) axis. The ANS stimulates the adrenal medulla to produce catecholamines, whereas glucocorticoids are the final effectors of the HPA axis [41]. More than a decade ago, salivary alpha-amylase (sAA) was suggested as a surrogate marker for autonomic activity given that its release into saliva is elicited by the stimulation of the salivary glands by sympathetic and parasympathetic nerves [33]. Furthermore, research has demonstrated that beta-adrenergic agonists are capable of stimulating sAA release without increasing salivary flow [14] and that beta-blocking agents inhibit sAA secretion in response to psychological challenges [37]. This pattern of evidence has resulted in the incorporation of sAA into a broad series of behavioral studies in which the sAA response to adverse environments has been measured and even successfully applied in clinical [42] and military settings [11]. Chromogranin A (CgA), on the other hand, is co-stored and co-released with catecholamines from secretory vesicles in the adrenal medulla and post-ganglionic sympathetic axons [45]. Chromogranin A is also produced by the submandibular gland and is secreted into the saliva under autonomic control. In addition, chromogranin A has antifungal and antimicrobial properties [24, 35, 40]. Salivary CgA has received some attention as a marker of psychological stress because similar responses to adverse psychological stimuli, such as sAA, have been reported for salivary CgA. In particular, higher concentrations of CgA were present in young professors after they gave lectures to university graduates [16], after moderate exercise [2] and following cognitive assessments [21]. Although sAA and CgA are secreted into the saliva from different glands, the parotid and submandibular glands, respectively, the secretion of both proteins into the saliva is under autonomic control. In addition to the parotid and submandibular glands, the sublingual and numerous minor glands also contribute to the secretion and the composition of whole mouth saliva. Essen-
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tially, parasympathetic input to the salivary glands produces most of the fluid in saliva, whereas sympathetic stimulation results in protein secretion [31]. Although this view is somewhat simplistic and exceptions to such patterns of saliva secretion exist, one could expect to observe similar responses of both sAA and CgA to adverse environments. Similarly, it could be speculated that not only sAA and CgA, but also salivary total protein (TP) would show a similar response to hostile stimuli. From a methodological perspective, measuring TP is faster and cheaper than traditional kinetic and immune-enzymatic assays. Surprisingly, few studies to date have investigated TP as a marker of autonomic activity; most research on salivary biomarkers has focused on sAA.

Professional sports competition offers a unique scenario in which to assess the response to stress. This type of competition involves social comparison and evaluation and is a significant source of pressure for athletes [18]. Secondly, professional sports competitions are highly organized, and the criteria for performance are clear. Therefore, the collection of the data and the assessment of the subjects’ responses are objective and standardized. Moreover, because the subjects are assessed in real-life environments, authentic responses to adverse stimuli are observed. It is well established that competition induces sympathetic arousal both in the anticipation of and in the response to the event [3, 23]. Such variation in autonomic activity is related to the perceived nature of the competition and the demands posed by the competition. Thus, the subjects may experience feelings ranging from worry and fear to vigor and aggressiveness depending on how they cope with stress. To a large extent, a lower reactivity of the HPA axis and the ANS system has been associated with positive affect [13], whereas a higher reactivity is related to negative affect [1, 33].

In this study, we examined the variation in salivary TP, sAA and CgA in professional swimmers during a national contest and on a regular training day. In theory, a higher autonomic drive would be present on the day of the competition compared with the non-competition day due to higher components of anxiety and pressure. Thus, we hypothesized that 1) higher concentrations of TP, sAA and CgA would be observed on the competition day relative to the control day and that these higher concentrations would be associated with higher scores in mood disturbance, and 2) no difference amongst the levels of TP, sAA and CgA would be present on the competition day or on the control day.

**Experimental design**

The subjects were asked to collect saliva samples throughout the first day of a 1-week national competition. A total of 7 saliva samples were collected at the following times: (T1) upon awakening (while still lying in bed), (T2) 30 min later, (T3) 1 h later [approximately 0800h], (T4) immediately before warming up for competition [approximately 1600h], (T5) 5, (T6) 20 and (T7) 40 min after the competition [approximately 1900h]. Morning samples (T1–T3) were included to explore the probable relations between the awakening response of salivary proteins and mood disturbance induced by competition.

The subjects were instructed to refrain from eating, drinking and tooth brushing during the first hour after awakening, or at least 1 h before T4. They were also asked to refrain from drinking alcohol or caffeinated beverages for at least 24 h prior to the days of saliva collection. The subjects were given clear and concise directions regarding collection procedures and the importance of punctuality. The subjects were asked to record collection times for the first 3 samples, and those subjects who provided samples deviating more than 10 min from the appropriate times were excluded from the analyses. The remaining 4 samples were collected under the supervision of 2 researchers at the precise times. 2 weeks after the competition, the event was recreated at the swimming team’s training facilities to obtain control values.

The subjects performed on the same day of the week and at the same time of the day as that of the real competition. Performance (swimming time) was recorded and compared between the actual competition and the control competition. The subjects were encouraged by their coaches to perform with the same intensity and at similar swimming times during the control day. The water temperature (25–28 °C) was also controlled to match that of the competition.

**Measures**

**Saliva sampling and handling**

Whole mouth saliva was collected into collection vials with no exogenous stimulation. The saliva was allowed to pool in the mouth and then drooled into pre-weighted conical polystyrene tubes after 2 min. Immediately after the event (before T5), the subjects were given 70 mL of distilled water to wash their mouths. The subjects were asked to spit the water and to swallow to empty the mouth before saliva was collected. The samples were placed on ice, transported to the laboratory and stored frozen at −20 °C until the analysis was performed.

**Western blotting for salivary alpha-amylase and chromogranin A**

On the day of analysis, the samples were thawed and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min. The concentration of the total protein in the samples was used as loading control. Total protein was determined using the Bradford method. It involves the binding of proteins to Coomassie brilliant blue, to form a protein-dye complex that shifts the absorption maximum of the dye from 465 to 595 nm. The increase in absorption is directly proportional to the amount of protein in the sample. Absorption read at 595 nm is recorded [8]. All of the samples from each subject were assayed on the same plate in duplicate. To avoid the possible effects of salivary flow rate on the concentration of proteins, especially after exercising (dehydration), 10 micrograms of the total protein from each sample were denatured under reducing conditions and applied on 5–20% SDS-polyacrylamide gradient.
Psychometric instruments
The subjects completed the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule-X (PANAS-X), immediately after collecting saliva at times T2, T4 and T6. The PANAS-X is a self-report measure of positive and negative affect composed of 11 separate 5-point Likert scales in which the subjects rate the extent to which they are currently experiencing each emotion [44]. Cronbach's alpha value was 0.84 and 0.86 for the Positive and Negative Affect scales, respectively. The PANAS-X had been previously translated into Portuguese and the results are highly correlated to the original instrument [30].

Statistical analyses
The data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test prior to the analyses. No transformations were necessary for any of the variables. The concentration of TP, sAA and CgA at each sampling time was averaged and compared between the competition and the control day using paired t tests. Psychometric scores and performance (swimming times) were also compared using paired t tests. The area under the curve of the diurnal profile of TP, sAA and CgA was calculated using the trapezoid formula [32]. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was used to compare the psychological and the physiological variables. For all of the analyses, the significance level was p<0.05. The results shown are the means (SD) unless otherwise stated.

Results
Averaged concentrations of salivary markers of sympathetic activity
Fig. 1, 2 show the diurnal profile of TP, sAA and CgA during the competition day and the control day. In general, all of the markers displayed a similar pattern with a distinct decrease 30 min post-awakening with following increasing concentrations. We did not observe significant differences in the concentrations of TP, sAA and CgA in the morning period (T1–T3) or at the end of the day (T6–T7). Differential changes, however, were observed at times T4 [TP: t(14)=2.67, p=0.0182; sAA: t(9)=5.44, p=0.0004; CgA: t(6)=3.42, p=0.014] as well as at T5 [TP: t(14)=4.42, p=0.0006; sAA: t(11)=3.53, p=0.0048; CgA: t(10)=4.30, p=0.0015] when compared to the control times.

Diurnal profile of salivary markers of sympathetic activity during competition
Here we set out to investigate whether the diurnal course of TP, sAA and CgA was different between the day of the competition and the control day. Areas under the curve with respect to ground (AUCg) [TP: t(4)=0.95, p=0.39; sAA: t(6)=1.11, p=0.31; CgA: t(4)=0.48, p=0.65] and with respect to increase (AUCi) [TP: t(8)=1.4, p=0.17; sAA: t(4)=4.2, p=0.07; CgA: t(4)=1.53, p=0.19] did not differ from the control group for any variable.

Affect scores and performance
Table 1 shows the positive and the negative affect scores throughout the competition and the control day. Overall, we found different and higher negative scores in the morning and prior to the competition whereas we found different but lower positive scores prior to and after the competition than on the control day. No difference in the swimming times was observed between the competition and the control day [Competition: 53.12 (2.02) s; Control: 53.43 (1.88) s; t(6)=0.64, p=0.76].

Correlations between affect and salivary markers

Here, the averaged concentrations of salivary markers at the times T2, T4 and T6 were correlated with the scores of negative and positive affect. Significant positive correlations were found only at T4 for negative affect on the competition day [TP: r(11) = 0.67, p < 0.05; sAA: r(11) = 0.59, p < 0.05; CgA: r(11) = 0.61, p < 0.05].

**Discussion**

To understand the dynamics of salivary markers of autonomic activity prior to and after competition, the mechanisms of protein secretion into saliva must be considered. In essence, saliva is constantly secreted at a basal rate from 3 major pairs of glands (parotid, submandibular and sublingual) and from numerous minor glands spread over the oral mucosa. However, copious salivary secretion is induced upon sensory and mechanical stimuli, but also to emotional states such as stress [25]. Parasympathetic nerves are mainly responsible for the secretion of water and electrolytes, sympathetic nerves are predominantly responsible for the secretion of proteins. Exceptions to this include, amongst others, the secretion of sAA from glands that are mainly stimulated by parasympathetic nerves, such as the palate and the sublingual glands [7], and increased salivary secretion of immunoglobulin A as a result of stimuli from both the sympathetic and the parasympathetic nerves to the plasma cells [31]. Nevertheless, evidence suggests that the rate of protein secretion into the saliva by sympathetic stimuli is superimposed upon parasympathetic stimulation when the glands are simultaneously innervated [31]. In addition to this, it is thought that the neural pathways that innervate salivary glands are under the control of the higher centers of the brain, such as the cerebral cortex and the limbic center. Consequently, the composition and volume of saliva is responsive not only to sensory and mechanical stimuli, but also to emotional states such as stress [25].

Consistent with our original hypothesis, we found a marked elevation of TP, sAA and CgA prior to and after the competition. However, in contrast to our expectations, we did not observe differential changes in the concentration of salivary proteins upon awakening and 60 min later even though higher negative affect scores were reported. Given the extensive experience of the subjects in the competition, they may have engaged mentally in the competition only later in the day as the contest was approaching (T4). Secondly, the magnitude of the feelings experienced early in the morning, although different from the control day, might not have been sufficiently intense to evoke significant sympathetic arousal.

A considerable body of research has been dedicated to assess the response of markers of autonomic activity both before and after adverse environments. Our findings are consistent with those of some studies [6, 10, 21, 26] but not others [22] that have reported significant increases in the activity of sAA and the concentration of CgA before academic and social evaluations and parachute jumping. The subjects in our study were assessed during a national swimming contest. All of the subjects were professional athletes, and their performance in the competition had significant consequences in regards to their salary and competitive status within their team. Thus, it seems that in situations in which the outcome of the challenge poses serious threats to the self, as seen in the contexts of assessment of job performance or physical danger, a rise in the activity and the concentration of these proteins before taking part in stressful situations could be expected.

It may be argued that in our study, such a rise in TP, sAA and CgA would be due to a higher sympathetic drive associated with exercise. Nonetheless, the subjects performed within almost identical swimming times on the control day, and it is unlikely that small differences in intensity resulted in such divergent profiles in the concentration of proteins prior to and immediately after the contest. Furthermore, we also found higher negative and lower positive affect scores relative to the control day before the contest. Thus, the disparity in the concentrations of proteins between the days of competition and control was in fact due to the psychological factors associated with the threat to the self posed by the competition.

When a sporting competition is chosen to assess biobehavioral responses, special attention must be paid to salivary flow after exercise because dehydration can strongly influence the concentration of salivary proteins. Lower rates of salivary flow have only been reported after prolonged exercise (≤30 min) [43]. In our study, the subjects were assessed in contests that took no more than 1 min. Nonetheless, as previously suggested [4, 28], we addressed this issue by determining the concentration of both sAA and CgA using the same quantity of protein from each sample (10 μg) independently from the volume of saliva collected. Thus, when our results were controlled for protein concentration, our results are in line with recent research that shows peak levels of sAA approximately 5–10 min after adverse stimuli and a subsequent decrease of sAA reaching baseline levels 20–30 min later [2, 15, 22].

It is worth repeating at this point that our goal was to investigate the response of salivary proteins in response to adverse psychological stimuli. Since our study was designed so the subjects would serve as their own control, it was necessary that the days of competition and control included the same physical strain [see Results – Performance]. Comparing the diurnal rhythm of salivary proteins on competition days against regular days (no competition or training) or against physically inactive subjects would not have allowed us to determine the effect of psychological adverse stimuli on elite athletes. Especially considering that exercise alone could result in a higher concentration of proteins. By examining the diurnal rhythm of proteins on resting days (in addition to competition and training), we would only be able to define the extent to which exercise leads to a higher secretion of proteins. Furthermore, diurnal rhythms of salivary proteins under physiological situations have been already explored and our findings on lower levels of protein in the morning with increasing concentration during the day corroborate those of the literature [12, 20].

Several of the findings of our study are novel. First, this is the first demonstration of the reactivity of TP and CgA to a profes-

---

**Table 1** Positive and negative affect scores during competition and control. Values in the upper line (bold) indicate scores during competition whereas values in the lower line indicate scores during control. Values are means (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection Times</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>T6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>18.6 (3.6)*</td>
<td>21.6 (6.9)*</td>
<td>20.0 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>28.5 (5.6)</td>
<td>29.8 (4.8)</td>
<td>23.1 (3.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.0 (9.1)</td>
<td>38.0 (6.1)</td>
<td>30.7 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from controls at p < 0.05
sional competition. Interestingly, both TP and CgA displayed a similar response to the competition than did sAA with differential changes in anticipation to and after the contest. This may have important repercussions in biobehavioral research and sports psychology. Since the proposition of sAA as a surrogate marker of sympathetic activity, a significant series of studies has assessed the variation in its activity under different conditions. However, from a laboratorial standpoint, determining the concentration of TP is faster, cheaper and more practical than traditional kinetic or immune assays. In this respect, TP has been successfully applied to monitor exercise intensity and hydration status [4,5,43]. However, no study thus far has considered TP as a marker of autonomic activity under psychological adversity. As mentioned before, if the rationale behind the use of sAA in biobehavioral research is that sAA is released into saliva upon sympathetic stimulation, higher concentrations of TP are also to be expected after the same challenges. Secondly, it appears that the levels of TP, sAA and CgA are not strongly associated with variations in negative and positive affect. Most likely, other types of scales with the predominant components of tension, anxiety and excitement as observed in sympathetic arousal would have been more appropriate to detect the same magnitude of variation between affect and salivary proteins. Finally, distinct, challenging psychological stimuli represented here by a professional competition only seem to override the regular rhythm of salivary proteins prior to and immediately after the contest. In accordance with the morning profile of our data, there are previous studies that have reported diurnal rhythms of sAA and CgA with nadir concentrations early in the morning and an awakening response with a steep decrease 30 min after awakening [12,38]. On the other hand, the results of this study have to be interpreted in light of some limitations. In general, the stress-induced changes in TP, sAA and CgA are congruent with the autonomic arousal previously reported during competition [3,23]. However, the reader is cautioned not to consider salivary proteins as exclusive read-outs of sympathetic activity. Parasympathetic innervation to the salivary glands regulates flow rate and as already noted, might also result in protein secretion. Although stress-induced protein release into saliva appears to be independent of flow rate [34], we are not able to differentiate additive effects, if any, between the 2 branches of the ANS. Secondly, we used a sample of subjects of modest size. We chose to assess the response to stress in a professional sporting competition because it poses significant psychological and physiological demands on the subjects. Additionally, it elicits genuine responses to stress because subjects are assessed in real-life situations. It is usually difficult to include a larger sample size in experimental studies because there are few professional teams with larger and more homogenous groups of athletes. In addition, experimental designs often interfere with training sessions or competition events. To compensate for sample size, we designed a comprehensive protocol that allowed us to observe timely variations in the concentrations of protein prior to and in response to the task. Furthermore, the subjects were all male, within a narrow age range and with similar levels of performance and extensive experience in competition. Additionally, baseline values were obtained from the same subjects in a carefully recreated event that matched the time-of-the-day and the day-of-the-week assessments. Several other studies on the variation in salivary constituents in response to stress and exercise have included similar if not smaller samples [9,15,17,26,27,29,36,39] and some have reported equivalent results to our study. Thus, although it would be desirable to work with a larger group, we believe, based on previous research, that our experimental design and the characteristics of the subjects that little, if any, difference in the dynamics of TP, sAA and CgA would have been observed with a larger population.

Conclusions

Taken together, these data indicate that TP, sAA and CgA show a similar pattern of reactivity towards professional competitions. If our supposition is correct and proteins, irrespective of their function or their mechanisms of secretion, are released into saliva mostly upon sympathetic drive, TP could represent a very attractive marker of autonomic activity in biobehavioral research given the simplicity of the Bradford assay. Changes in the concentration of TP, sAA and CgA become apparent only moments before and after taking part in a competition and do not strictly reflect alterations in negative and positive affect.
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